97c v 5127 February 13, 2008

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
Civil Action No. 07-CV-138

In Regard to the Matter of:

Bayside State Prison

Opinion and Report

Litigation

of the

Special Master

VICTONIO GOLDEN,

-V5-

WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al,

Defendants.

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 2008

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER

MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC.

Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing

251 South White Horse Pike

Audubon, New Jersey 08106

856-546-1100

```
Page 2
 1
 2
                     Transcript of proceedings in the above
 3
     matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified
 4
     Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and
 5
      Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the
 6
      United States District Court House, One Gerry Plaza,
 7
      Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 9:30 AM.
 8
 9
     APPEARANCES:
10
11
            JAIME KAIGH, ESQUIRE
12
            32 NORTH BLACK HORSE PIKE
            SUTTE 5
13
            BLACKWOOD, NEW JERSEY 08012
            ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS
14
15
            RODNEY D. RAY, ESQUIRE
            32 NORTH BLACK HORSE PIKE
16
            BLACKWOOD, NEW JERSEY 08012
            856-232-3337
17
            ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS
18
19
            ROSELLI & GRIEGEL, PC
                 JAMES LAZZARO, ESQUIRE
20
            BY:
                 - and -
                 KENNETH W. LOZIER, ESQUIRE
            BY:
21
                 - and -
                 STEVEN GRIEGEL, ESQUIRE
22
            1337 STATE HIGHWAY 33
            HAMILTON SQUARE, NEW JERSEY 08690
23
            609-586-2257
            ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS
24
25
```

Page 3 JUDGE BISSELL: I turn now to the 1 decision regarding the case brought by Victorio 2 Golden, docket number 08-138 and ask that that action 3 also be reopened for the purpose of generaling this 4 transcript. 5 Once again, I am proceeding pursuant to 6 the parameters and perimeters of instructions to me 7 as set forth in the Order of Reference to a Special 8 Master and the Special Master Agreement. 9 I incorporate by reference the jury 10 instructions as set forth in the Walker and Mejias 1.1 charges as setting forth the underlying principles of 12 law against which these facts have been measured to 13 14 the extent they are applicable to the particular issues in this case. And this decision is being 15 issued in compliance with the obligation of a written 16 report as contemplated by the Special Master 17 Agreement, employing Local Civil Rule 52.1 for the 18 19 issuance thereof. Victorio Golden describes a hit on his 20 head and a punch in his eye as having happened to him 21 in lockup upon his return from a court line date late 22 in August and shortly before his release from Bayside 23 on or about September 5, 1997. 24 The injuries were described as a hit on 25

		Page 4
1	the left back side of his head causing scarring, and	
2	a punch to the left eye. And, indeed, those injuries	
3	are both described and mentioned in the relatively	
4	contemporancous written statements that he gave which	
5	have been entered into evidence as D-40 and D-41.	
6	However, there are significant	
7	discrepancies between the descriptions in those	
8	contemporaneous documents and his testimony here in	
9	court.	
10	He does, indeed, associate the events	
11	involved with the officer who was with him on his	
12	court line date as well as on previous occasions.	
13	However, in those documents, he does not place his	
14	eye injury at the time stated in his testimony here	
15	in court, but rather at a considerably carlier time	
16	period in the month of August of 1997.	
17	There are other discrepancies between	
18	the report and his testimony here in court which I'm	
19	not going to dwell on at any great length.	
20	His testimony in court, therefore, was	
21	really impeached by placing the events of both the	
22	hit which caused the bleeding on his head and his eye	
23	injury as taking place back in the lockup upon his	
24	return from the court line proceeding rather than	
25	earlier.	

Page 5 Once again, there are other incredible 1 aspects of his testimony. The duration and severity 2 of his headaches for a ten-and-a-half year period, 3 resulting from a single blow to the head such as 4 this, I do not find credible. 5 And I might say I did examine the scar, 6 its noticeable, it's in the area that he described, I 7 have no reason to think that it didn't occur somehow 8 and somewhere, but I'll have more to say about that 9 10 later. Also, the dolayed designation of 11 Officer Walker and the absence of any credible 12 evidence to identify him which, of course, led to my 13 direction that no matter what the outcome of this 14 case there would be no recommended judgment against 15 Officer Walker, has some impact upon the Plaintiff's 16 17 credibility generally. As far as this record is concerned, the 18 reference to Officer Walker came out of the blue. 19 Indeed, in reviewing the transcript of post trial 20 motions, apparently the references before then were 21 to an Officer Morris who wasn't even mentioned here. 22 To reiterate, I find that the evidence 23 which he andeavors to describe here in court as 24 having taken place only upon his return to the

		Page 6
1	lockup, after his court line hearing, is fatally	
2.	inconsistent with the contemporaneous statements	
3	which he offcred regarding the placing of both his	
4	head and eye injuries on a time line.	
5	I find the testimony here in court	
6	contrived, albeit for no particularly apparent	
7	reason. But I have to measure it as it comes in	
8	terms of its credibility and whether the plaintiff	
9	has discharged his burden of proof by a preponderance	
10	of the credible evidence. That trial testimony was	
11	not credible and was impeached by his prior	
12	statements which, of course, were offered for	
13	impeachment purposes. Even though the eye injury was	
14	observed upon his release and led to the generation	
15	of D-40 and D-41 and the interviews by Internal	
16	Affairs, I conclude nevertheless that there was no	
1 '7	adequate competent proof of exactly how, when, why	
18	and by whom those injuries, in fact, occurred.	
19	Finally, although not every item of	
20	evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report,	
21	all evidence presented to the Special Master was	
22	reviewed and considered.	
23	This plaintiff, therefore, has failed	
24	to discharge his burden of proof and it will be my	
25	recommendation in this written report that Mr. Golden	

Page 7 has not sustained his Eighth Amendment claims and hence will have no recovery against anyone in his case. I recommend in this report that the District Court enter a verdict of no cause for action. 1.4 1.6

		Page 8
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
.3	I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and	
4	Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New	
Б	Jorsey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a	
6	true and accurate transcript of the testimony as	
.1	taken stenographically by and before me at the time,	
8	place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.	
9	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a	
10	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any	
11	of the parties to this action, and that I am neither	
12	a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel,	
13	and that I am not financially interested in the	
14	action.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	Theresa O. mastrocanni	
	Theresa O. Mastroianni, C.S.R.	
20	Notary Public, State of New Jersey	
	My Commission Expires May 5, 2010	
21	Certificate No. XIO857	
	Date: February 19, 2008	
22		
23		
24		
25		

С	_	•	-
~	١		
	1	_	

	1	LNEID		1
A	causing 4:1	4:3 5:7	fact 6:18	2:16
absence 5:12	Certificate 8:21	describes 3:20	facts 3:13	House 2:7
accurate 8:6	Certified 1:20	descriptions 4:7	failed 6:23	
action 1:2 3:3	2:4 8:4	designation 5:11	far 5:18	<u> </u>
7:4 8:11,14	certify 8:5,9	direction 5:14	fatally 6:1	identify 5:13
adequate 6:17	charges 3:12	discharge 6:24	FAUVER 1:8	impact 5:16
Affairs 6:16	Civil 1:2 3:18	discharged 6:9	February 1:13	impeached 4:21
Agreement 3:9	claims 7:1	discrepancies	8:21	6:11
3:18	comes 6:7	4:7,17	Finally 6:19	impeachment
al 1:8	commencing 2:8	discussed 6:20	financially 8:13	6:13
albeit 6:6	Commission	District 1:1,2 2:7	find 5:5,23 6:5	inconsistent 6:2
Amendment 7:1	8:20	7:3	foregoing 8:5	incorporate 3:10
apparent 6:6	competent 6:17	docket 3:3	FORMAROLI	incredible 5:1
apparently 5:21	compliance 3:16	documents 4:8	1:19	injuries 3:25 4:2
applicable 3:14	concerned 5:18	4:13	forth 3:8,11,12	6:4,18
area 5:7	conclude 6:16	duration 5:2	8:8	injury 4:14,23
aspects 5:2	considerably	dwell 4:19	FURTHER 8:9	6:13
aspects 5.2 associate 4:10	4:15	D-40 4:5 6:15		instructions 3:7
attorney 8:10,12	considered 6:22	D-41 4:5 6:15	G	3:11
ATTORNEYS	contemplated		generally 5:17	interested 8:13
2:14,17,24	3:17	E	generating 3:4	Internal 6:15
Audubon 1:21	contemporane	E 2:10,10 8:1,1	generation 6:14	interviews 6:15
	4:4,8 6:2	earlier 4:15,25	Gerry 2:7	involved 4:11
August 3:23 4:16	contrived 6:6	Eighth 7:1	going 4:19	issuance 3:19
4.10	counsel 8:10,12	employee 8:10	Golden 1:6 3:3	issued 3:16
B	course 5:13 6:12	8:12	3:20 6:25	issues 3:15
back 4:1,23	court 1:1,20 2:5	employing 3:18	great 4:19	item 6:19
Bayside 1:5 3:23	2:7 3:22 4:9,12	endeavors 5:24	GRIEGEL 2:19	
BISSELL 1:16	4:15,18,20,24	enter 7:4	2:22	<u>J</u>
3:1	5:24 6:1,5 7:4	entered 4:5		JAIME 2:12
BLACK 2:12,16	credibility 5:17	ESQUIRE 2:12	H	JAMES 2:20
BLACKWOOD	6:8	2:15,20,21,22	H 1:8	Jersey 1:2,21 2:6
2:13,16	credible 5:5,12	et 1:8	HAMILTON	2:8,13,16,23
bleeding 4:22	6:10,11	events 4:10,21	2:23	8:5,20
blow 5:4	C.S.R 8:19	evidence 4:5	happened 3:21	JOHN 1:16
blue 5:19		5:13,23 6:10	head 3:21 4:1,22	JUDGE 3:1
brought 3:2	<u>D</u>	6:20,21	5:4 6:4	judgment 5:15
burden 6:9,24	D 2:15	exactly 6:17	headaches 5:3	jury 3:10
	date 3:22 4:12	examine 5:6	hearing 6:1	K
С	8:8,21	Expires 8:20	hereinbefore 8:8	
C 2:10 8:1,1	decision 3:2,15	extent 3:14	HIGHWAY	KAIGH 2:12
Camden 2:8	Defendants 1:9	eye 3:21 4:2,14	2:22	KENNETH 2:21
case 3:2,15 5:15	2:24	4:22 6:4,13	hit 3:20,25 4:22	
7:3	delayed 5:11	<u> </u>	HONORABLE	late 3:22
cause 7:4	describe 5:24	<u>F</u>	1:16	law 3:13
caused 4:22	described 3:25	F 8:1	Horse 1:20 2:12	JAW J.IJ

		1		l
LAZZARO 2:20	observed 6:14	punch 3:21 4:2	set 3:8,11 8:8	U
led 5:13 6:14	occasions 4:12	purpose 3:4	setting 3:12	underlying 3:12
left 4:1,2	occur 5:8	purposes 6:13	severity 5:2	United 1:1 2:7
length 4:19	occurred 6:18	pursuant 3:6	Shorthand 8:4	
license 2:5	offered 6:3,12		shortly 3:23	V
line 3:22 4:12,24	officer 4:11 5:12	<u>R</u>	side 4:1	verdict 7:4
6:1,4	5:16,19,22	R 2:10 8:1	significant 4:6	Victorio 1:6 3:2
Litigation 1:5	Once 3:6 5:1	RAY 2:15	single 5:4	3:20
Local 3:18	Opinion 1:5	really 4:21	South 1:20	Videoconfere
lockup 3:22 4:23	opinion/report	reason 5:8 6:7	Special 1:6,16	1:20
6:1	6:20	recommend 7:3	3:8,9,17 6:21	vs 1:7
LOZIER 2:21	Order 3:8	recommendati	SQUARE 2:23	
	outcome 5:14	6:25	State 1:5 2:6,22	W
<u> </u>		recommended	8:4,20	W 1:16 2:21
Master 1:6,16	P	5:15	stated 4:14	Walker 3:11
3:9,9,17 6:21	P 2:10,10	record 5:18	statements 4:4	5:12,16,19
Mastroianni	parameters 3:7	recovery 7:2	6:2,12	wasn't 5:22
1:19 2:4 8:3,19	particular 3:14	reference 3:8,10	States 1:1 2:7	WEDNESDAY
matter 1:4 2:4	particularly 6:6	5:19	stenographica	1:13
5:14	parties 8:11	references 5:21	8:7	White 1:20
measure 6:7	PC 2:19	Regard 1:4	STEVEN 2:22	WILLIAM 1:8
measured 3:13	perimeters 3:7	regarding 3:2	SUITE 2:13	written 3:16 4:4
Mejias 3:11	period 4:16 5:3	6:3	sustained 7:1	6:25
mentioned 4:3	Pike 1:20 2:12	reiterate 5:23		<u> </u>
5:22	2:16	relative 8:10,12	T	-
month 4:16	place 4:13,23	relatively 4:3	T 8:1,1	XI0857 8:21
Morris 5:22	5:25 8:8	release 3:23 6:14	taken 2:4 5:25	Y
motions 5:21	placing 4:21 6:3	reopened 3:4	8:7	year 5:3
N	plaintiff 6:8,23	report 1:5 3:17	ten-and-a-half	year J.J
$\frac{1}{N2:10}$	PLAINTIFFS	4:18 6:25 7:3	5:3	0
neither 8:9,11	2:14,17	Reporter 2:5 8:4	terms 6:8	07-CV-138 1:2
nevertheless	Plaintiff's 5:16	Reporting 1:20	testimony 4:8,14	08-138 3:3
6:16	Plaza 2.7	resulting 5:4	4:18,20 5:2 6:5	08012 2:13,16
New 1:2,21 2:6,8	post 5:20	return 3:22 4:24	6:10 8:6	08102 2:8
	preponderance	5:25	thereof 3:19	08106 1:21
2:13,16,23 8:4 8:20	6:9	reviewed 6:22	Theresa 2:4 8:3	08690 2:23
	presented 6:21	reviewing 5:20	8:19	
North 2:12,16	previous 4:12	RODNEY 2:15	think 5:8	1
Notary 2:6 8:3 8:20	principles 3:12	ROSELLI 2:19	time 4:14,15 6:4	13 1:13
noticeable 5:7	prior 6:11	Rule 3:18	8:7	1337 2:22
number 2:5 3:3	Prison 1:5	<u>s</u>	transcript 2:3	19 8:21
numbel 4.3 3.3	proceeding 3:6	S 2:10	3:5 5:20 8:6	1997 3:24 4:16
0	4:24	scar 5:6	trial 5:20 6:10	
O 2:4 8:3,19	proceedings 2:3	scarring 4:1	true 8:6	2
obligation 3:16	proof 6:9,17,24	September 3:24	turn 3:I	2008 1:13 8:21
	Public 2:6 8:3,20	September 3.44		2010 8:20
**************************************	other and the second	l Kanatanaan aharan a	l KSYTES-UUTVOUTUS KASITAANANINANININININININININININININININI	

1.12 (1.44.8)		 	
251 1:20			
3			
0X100085700			
2:5			
2 2:12,16 3 2:22			
5			
2:13 3:24 8:20			
2.1 3:18			
6 09-586-2257			
2:23			
8 222 222			
56-232-3337 2:17			
56-546-1100			
1:21			
9			fs
:30 2:8	;		
2.0			
į			
	! !		